Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/578,916	MIHASHI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	KENNETH BOMBERG	3754
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>KENNETH BOMBERG</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>VIRGIL H. MARSH</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 March 2011	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1,5,6		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Kenneth Bomberg/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3754	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Mr. Marsh with a proposed Examiner's Amendment to cancel claims 5-6 which were substantially redundant with amended claim 1, and to clarify and improve the form of claim 1. After consulting with Applicant, in a subsequent call, Mr. Marsh approved the suggested Examiner's Amendment.